

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/081,095	02/22/2002	David Allen Loewenstein		2840
David A. Loewenstein 802 King Street Rye Brook, NY 10573			EXAMINER	
			COLLINS, DOLORES R	
Ryc Blook, NT 10373			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		. 3711	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Н					
,	Application No. Applicant(s)					
	10/081,095	LOEWENSTEIN, DAVID ALLEN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dolores R. Collins	3711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 April 2007</u>.</li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>						
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ○ Claim(s) 3-5 & 19-22 is/are allowed.  6) ○ Claim(s) 1,13,18,23-25,30 and 31 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1, 13, 18, 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because there is no tangible outcome to the claimed method of play. Although the claims are presented as method claims, these claims appear to fall within a judicial exception, as abstract ideas. The claims are abstract as the claims merely state dealing cards and a player selecting the dealt out cards. There appears to be no concrete, tangible result in these claims as well as no practical application to the abstract ideas. Therefore, it appears that the subject matter of the above claims is not eligible subject matter and the above claims are rejected under 35 USC 101.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3711

1. Claims 30-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Needler (592).

### Regarding claim 30-31

Needler discloses Playing Cards. His cards teach a suit on one side (clubs) and suit and value on the other. Needler only explicitly teaches clubs on one side only, however, it would have been a matter of design choice to use any other suit (indicia) on the given side. Such would present little or no difficulty to one skilled in the art.

Needler teaches cards that are conventional with non-descriptive card-backs in the case of every other suit except clubs.

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-5 & 19-22 are allowed.

The reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter will be held in abeyance pending applicant's response.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 4/13/07 have been fully considered but were not considered persuasive. Applicant's claims 1 & 13 still appear to be merely a series of abstract ideas with no concrete, tangible result there appears to be no game being played beyond the selection of cards.

Art Unit: 3711

A new office action on the merits is presented to address claims 30 & 31 which were omitted, in err, from the previous office action.

#### **Conclusion**

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure and are cited to show the state of art with respect to features of the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to *Dolores R. Collins* whose telephone number is *(571)* **272-4421**. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.00 A.M. - 4:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, *Eugene Kim* can be reached on *(571)* 272-4463. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is *571-273-8300*.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

De la company de

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

\*\*\*5/14/07